1·0 2·8 2·5

3·15 2·2

1·1 3·5 2·0

4·0

4·5 1·8

1·25 1·4 1·6

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS
MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART

BY

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 359

PREPARED UNDER CONTRACT

N00014-76-C-0475   (NR-042-267)

FOR THE OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
STANFORD, CALIFORNIA

A BEHAVIORAL SUMMARY FOR COMPLETELY RANDOM NETS

BY

ALAN E. GELFAND

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 359
JUNE 20, 1985

Prepared Under Contract

N00014-76-C-0475 (NR-042-267)

For the Office of Naval Research

Herbert Solomon, Project Director

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
STANFORD   UNIVERSITY
STANFORD, CALIFORNIA

A BEHAVIORAL SUMMARY FOR COMPLETELY RANDOM NETS

by Alan E. Gelfand

1. Introduction

Random switching net models have been employed in various
contexts to aid in understanding and simulating aspects of the
behavior of biological systems. Two of the most notable examples
are neural net models and genetic net models. The former model
components of the central nervous system and are typically devel-
oped from formal, in the sense of McCulloch and Pitts (1943),
neurons. Discussions are given in Griffith (1971) and in Arbib
(1972). The latter model the genetic structure of cells and have
been presented in a series of papers by Kauffman (1969a, 1969b,
1970, 1971, 1974). Recently Cavender (1977) has noted that switch-
ing net models can provide behavioral agreement with that of a
wide range of biological organisms.

As Sherlock (1979) notes, analytical limitations rather than
lack of biological relevance have generally tended to hinder the
impact of this type of modeling enterprise. However, for one
instance, that of a completely random switching net, virtually
complete mathematical description can be provided. While completely
random networks are not in themselves taken as plausible models,
they do offer behavioral benchmarks which demonstrate the need to
exercise structural and/or functional control over the net models.
That is, from knowledge of the behavior of very large completely
random switching nets, we learn how to formulate restricted net

models exhibiting more (real world) plausible behavior. The utili-
zation of "threshold levels" for neurons in a neural net or of
"forcing inputs" to genes in a genetic net are illustrations of
the imposition of such control. The intent of this article is to
present a concise, accessible behavioral summary of the completely
random situation. While some of the results in the sequel have
been discussed by others, the primary objectives here are to unify,
clarify and simplify.

A switching net consists of a set of N elements having an
associated interconnectance structure. Each element has binary
response to input information and at any instant in time the net
is in one of 2^N distinct states. The net operates on discrete
(clock) time such that its state at time t uniquely determines its
state at time t + 1. For a completely random net the choice of
successor state to a state is made via an equally likely selection
from all 2^N possible net states.

Since the number of net states is finite and the net is
deterministic, given an arbitrary initial state, the sequence of
states arising from this initial one must necessarily encounter a
state it had previously been in. Thereafter it must repeat this
intermediate sequence of states. Such a sequence of states is
called a cycle. The number of distinct states in the cycle is
called the cycle length. For a given net, some (at least one)
elements will be cyclic but others may be transient occurring
during a run-in prior to cycling. For a given net there may be an
assortment of cycles of varying lengths. Thus each net creates a

cycle structure (or space) which, for small N, is typically depicted through a state diagram.

Any net may be described in terms of its transition matrix. That is, placing net states in one-to-one correspondence with the integers from 1 through 2^N we can form a $2^N \times 2^N$ matrix T whose entries T_{ij} are such that

$$T_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if state i is successor to state j} \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The transition matrix representation of a net shows that any net may be viewed as a transformation from a set of $n = 2^N$ elements into itself. This description suffices for examination of the cycle structure of a net but sacrifices the binary character of the net. However in the completely random net the binary aspect is immaterial and thus in the sequel we study the mathematically equivalent form of the problem, the characterization of the cycle space of a random transformation on a finite set. Griffith recognizes this equivalence (sec. 8.2.3) in offering several behavioral results. Kauffman does as well (1970) in discussing the results of simulations of completely random nets. Amongst the important mathematical efforts on this problem are the papers of Rubin and Sitgreaves (1954), Harris (1960), Katz (1955), Kruskal (1954), Folkert (1955), Cull(1971, 1978), and Gontcharoff (1944). Chapter 4 of the book by Riordan (1958) on cycles of permutations is directly relevant. As noted earlier the reader may find some of the ensuing results across these references.

In concluding this section we return to the transition matrix, T. By definition T has exactly one "1" per column. Suppose T results in a cycle structure with k transient elements and m cycles of length $r_1, r_2, r_3, \ldots, r_m$, respectively. Then Cull (1978) shows that the characteristic polynomial of T, $|T - \lambda I|$, where operations are performed in the real field, will have the form

$$\pm \lambda^k \prod_{i=1}^{m} (\lambda^{r_i} - 1).$$

Clearly, Tr(T) gives the number of elements on cycles of length 1 and more generally $Tr(T^m)$ yields the number of states on cycles whose length divides m. Hence $Tr(T^{n!})$ equals the number of states on cycles and $n - Tr(T^{n!})$ equals the number of transient states. How may we obtain a matrix H_m from T such that $Tr(H_m)$ equals the number of states on cycles whose length is exactly m? Let C_m = {primes $\leq$ m which appear in the prime representation of m} (i.e. appear with a power $\geq$ 1) and let N_m = number of elements in C_m. The number of subsets of N_m is 2^{N_m} and the number of subsets

of size k is $\binom{N_m}{k} \equiv N_{mk}$. At a given k let j index the subsets of
size k so that the 2^{N_m} subsets may be denoted by C_{kj}, k = 0,1,2,
...,N_m, j = 1,2,...,N_{mk}. Let g_{kj} equal m divided by the product
of all the elements in C_{kj}. Then

Theorem. For each m, m = 1,2,...,n, let

$$H_m = \sum_{k=0}^{N_m} (-1)^k \sum_{j=1}^{N_{mk}} T^{g_{kj}}.$$

Then $Tr(H_m)$ = number of states on cycles whose length is
exactly m.

Proof. A direct inclusion-exclusion argument.

2. Random Transformations and Cycle Structure Random Variables

The selection of a random (equally likely) transformation T
is conveniently accomplished as a sequence of n independent multi-
nomial trials where the jth trial chooses the successor to state j
in an equiprobable fashion from amongst the n elements. Then,
with respect to cycles of length 1, Tr(T) is distributed binomial
$(n, \frac{1}{n})$ with limiting distribution Poisson (1). But what about
longer cycles or, more generally, the nature of the cycle structure
of such a random transformation? We will examine the distributions
of:

(i)   the number of cycles of a specified length

(ii)   the number of cycles

    (iii)   the number of cyclic states

    (iv)   the length of a cycle.

These issues are not easily pursued through the matrices T^m and H_m.

    We may readily study the moments of the random variables in (i) - (iii) through the n × n array of random variables.

(1)

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
D_{11}^{n} & \ldots\ldots\ldots & D_{1n}^{n} \\
D_{21}^{n} & \ldots\ldots\ldots & D_{2n}^{n} \\
\cdot & & \cdot \\
\cdot & & \cdot \\
\cdot & & \cdot \\
D_{n1}^{n} & \ldots\ldots\ldots & D_{nn}^{n}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
D_{ri}^{n} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if state } x_i \text{ is on a cycle of length } r \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Let

(2) $A_{n,r} = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} D_{ri}^{n} = \text{number of states on a cycle of length } r$

(3) $B_{n,r} = r^{-1} A_{n,r} = \text{number of cycles of length } r$

(4) $C_{i}^{n} = \sum\limits_{r=1}^{n} D_{ri}^{n} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if state } x_i \text{ is cyclic} \\ 0 & \text{if transient} \end{cases}$

(5) $U_{n} = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} A_{n,r} = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} C_{i}^{n} = \text{number of cyclic states}$

(6) $\quad V_n = \sum\limits_{r=1}^{n} B_{n,r} = $ number of cycles.

For any fixed r the joint distribution of $D_{r1}^{n}, \ldots, D_{rn}^{n}$ or of any subset will be that of a collection of dependent inter-changeable random variables. The marginal distribution of any D_{r1}^{n} is directly

(7) $\quad P(D_{r1}^{n} = 1) = \binom{n-1}{r-1}(r-1)!\, n^{-r} = n^{-(r+1)}(n)_r$

where $(n)_r$ is the falling factorial of r terms starting at n. Immediately, then (with $\rightarrow$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$)

(8) $\quad E(A_{n,r}) = n^{-r}\,(n)_r \rightarrow 1$

(9) $\quad E(B_{n,r}) = r^{-1}\,n^{-r}\,(n)_r \rightarrow r^{-1}$

(10) $\quad E(C_1^{n}) = n^{-1} \sum\limits_{r=1}^{n} n^{-r}\,(n)_r \rightarrow 0$

(11) $\quad E(U_n) = \sum\limits_{r=1}^{n} n^{-r}\,(n)_r \rightarrow \infty$

(12) $\quad E(V_n) = \sum\limits_{r=1}^{n} r^{-1}\,n^{-r}\,(n)_r \rightarrow \infty.$

The limits imply that with increasing number of elements the probability of any particular element being cyclic tends to 0, but the expected number of cyclic states and of cycles tends to ∞.

To get moments of order m, we need the joint distribution of

any subset of size m of the D_{ri}^n. For any pair, D_{ri}^n, D_{sj}^n, we have three cases: (i) $r \neq s$, $i \neq j$, (ii) $r = s$, $i \neq j$, (iii) $r \neq s$, $i = j$. For (i) we have

$$P(D_{ri}^n = 1, D_{sj}^n = 1) = \begin{cases} n^{-(r+s+1)} (n-1)^{-1} (n)_{r+s}, & r + s \leq n \\ 0, & r + s > n \end{cases}$$

For (ii)

$$P(D_{ri}^n = 1, D_{rj}^n = 1) = \begin{cases} n^{-(r+1)}(n-1)^{-1}(r-1)(n)_r + n^{-(2r+1)}(n-1)^{-1}(n)_{2r}, & r \leq n/2 \\ n^{-r+1}(n-1)^{-1}(r-1)(n)_r, & n/2 < r \leq n \\ 0, & r > n. \end{cases}$$

For (iii)

$$P(D_{ri}^n = 1, D_{si}^n = 1) = 0.$$

In each case using the marginal, (7), we may complete the joint distribution. Thus covariances between any pair of D_{ri}^n may be gotten and variances and covariances for the variables in (2) - (6) as well. In particular $A_{n,r}$ and $A_{n,s}$ (also $B_{n,r}$ and $B_{n,s}$) are negatively correlated but asymptotically uncorrelated. Also as $n \to \infty$ $\text{var}(A_{n,r}) \to r$, $\text{var}(B_{n,r}) \to r^{-1}$ and $\text{var}(C_i^n) \to 0$. $\text{Var}(U_n) \to \infty$ and $\text{var}(V_n) \to \infty$ but these are most easily shown using results in Section 4.

As to the joint distribution of any subset of size m of the D_{ri}^n, suppose first that all m variables are in the same row of (1). Taking $mr \leq n$ and using the interchangeability of the variables,

we require

$$P_{n,m,r} \equiv P(\text{States } \alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_m \text{ each on a cycle of length } r)$$

$$= P(D^n_{r\alpha_1} = 1, D^n_{r\alpha_2} = 1,\ldots, D^n_{r\alpha_n} = 1).$$

Consider all possible partitions of m with no part greater than r. If a given partition has parts $m_1,\ldots,m_j$, let $n(m_1,\ldots,m_j)$ be the number of ways to allocate m distinct objects into j like cells with m_i in cell i ($\Sigma^j_{i=1} m_i = m$). Also associate with $m_1,m_2,\ldots,m_j$ the event $E_{nr}(m_1,\ldots,m_j)$ defined by {states $\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{m_1}$ on the same cycle of length r, states $\alpha_{m_1+1},\ldots,\alpha_{m_1+m_2}$ on the same cycle of length r, etc.}. If $\mathscr{J}_m$ is the set of all partitions of m and $\mathscr{J}_{m,r}$ is the set of all partitions of m with no part greater than r, then

$$P_{n,m,r} = \underset{\mathscr{J}_{m,r}}{\Sigma}\ n(m_1,\ldots,m_j)\ P(E_{nr}(m_1,\ldots,m_j))$$

where

$$P(E_{nr}(m_1,m_2,\ldots,m_j)) = [(n)_m]^{-1}(n)_{jr}\ n^{-jr}[(r-1)!]^j\left[\ \prod_{i=1}^{j}\ (r-m_i)!\right]^{-1}.$$

Using $P_{n,m-1,r}$ we may complete the joint distribution of the m $D^n_{r\alpha_i}$. Suppose that the m D^n_{ri} are all in the same column of (1), say $D^n_{\alpha_1 1}, D^n_{\alpha_2 1},\ldots,D^n_{\alpha_m 1}$. Then their joint distribution will be

multinomial with associated $P_{\alpha_j} = n^{-(\alpha_j+1)} (n)_{\alpha_j}$, $j = 1,2,\ldots,n$.
Combining the above ideas, we may obtain the joint distribution
any subset of size m of the D_{ri}. We omit the details.

3. Exact Distributions

The exact distribution of U_n can be obtained following ideas
given by Rubin and Sitgreaves. Given T, for any state x, let S(x)
be the set of all successors to x, i.e.

$$S(x) = \{x' : T^r x = x' \text{ for some } r \geq 0\}.$$

Then, with $k \geq r + 1$,

P(x has k successors, S(x) has cycle of length r, x is not cyclic)

$$= P(Tx \neq x; \ T^2 x \neq Tx, \ T^2 x \neq x; \ T^3 x \neq T^2 x, \ T^3 x \neq Tx, \ T^3 x \neq x;$$

$$T^{k-1} x \neq T^{k-2} x,\ldots, T^{k-1} x \neq x; \ T^k x = T^{k-r} x)$$

$$= \frac{n-1}{n} \cdot \frac{n-2}{n} \cdot \ldots \cdot \frac{n-(k-1)}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{n} = n^{-(k+1)} (n)_k.$$

Thus

(13) $\quad$ P(S(x) has cycle of length r, x is not cyclic $= \displaystyle\sum_{k=r+1}^{n} n^{-(k+1)} (n)_k.$

But

P(S(x) has cycle of length r, x is not cyclic)

$$= \sum_{u=r}^{n} P(S(x) \text{ has cycle of length } r, x \text{ is not cyclic}, U_n=u)$$

$$= \sum_{u=r}^{n} P(S(x) \text{ has cycle of length } r \mid x \text{ is not cyclic}, U_n=u)$$

(14)

$$P(x \text{ is not cyclic} \mid U_n=u) \, P(U_n=u)$$

$$= \sum_{u=r}^{n} (1 \cdot \frac{u-1}{u} \cdot \frac{u-2}{u-1} \cdots \frac{u-(r-1)}{u-(r-s)} \cdot \frac{1}{u-(r-1)}) \cdot \frac{n-u}{n} \cdot P(U_n=u)$$

$$= \sum_{u=r}^{n} \frac{n-u}{nu} P(U_n=u).$$

Since (13) and (14) are equal for all r

$$\sum_{k=r+1}^{n} n^{-(k+1)}(n)_k - \sum_{k=r+2}^{n} n^{-(k+1)}(n)_k$$

$$= \sum_{u=r}^{n} (nu)^{-1}(n-u)P(U_n=u) - \sum_{u=r\neq1}^{n} (nu)^{-1}(n-u)P(U_n=u)$$

whence

(15) $P(U_n = u) = n^{-(u+1)}(n)_u u, \quad u = 1,2,\ldots,n.$

From (15), $P(U_n = n) = n^{-n} n!$. This is seen directly by noting
that $U_n = n$ i.f.f. T is 1-1 and that there are n! such T. Harris

offers an alternative development of (15) by decomposing the
cycle space of T and employing a convenient identity from Katz.
Using (15) we have the identity

$$\sum_{u=1}^{n} n^{-u} (n)_u u = n .$$

Using (11) and (15) we have

$$E(U_n) = n E(U_n)^{-1} .$$

Similarly we can develop identities for higher moments of U_n, e.g.

$$E(U_n^2) = 2n - E(U_n) .$$

The exact distributions of V_n and $B_{n,r}$ may be obtained
through their conditional distributions given U_n. Such events
as v cycles resulting from u cyclic states or k cycles of length r
resulting from u cyclic states may be viewed in terms of cycle
classes of permutations of u distinct objects. Riordan, Chapter 4,
is helpful here, e.g., from p. 70-72 we have

$$(16) \qquad \alpha(u,v) \equiv P(V_n = v | U_n = u) = (-1)^{u+v} s(u,v)(u!)^{-1}$$

where $s(u,v)$ are Stirling numbers of the first kind. From the
familiar recurrence relationship for such numbers (Riordan p. 33),
we obtain

$$\alpha(u,v) = u^{-1}(u-1)\ \alpha(u-1,v) + u^{-1}\ \alpha(u-1,v-1)\ .$$

From (16)

(17) $$P(V_n = v) = \sum_{u=v}^{n} \alpha(u,v)\ n^{-(u+1)}(n)_n\ u\ .$$

This distribution is derived in a more complicated form by Folkert employing the aforementioned Katz identity. Using (12) and (17) we may create an identity for $E(V_n)$. Similar identities can be developed for higher moments of V_n. Using a generating function argument (Riordan p. 71) the wth factorial moments of V_n given U_n can be derived.

$$E((V_n)_w | U_n = u) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{u!}\ \dfrac{\partial^w[t(t+1))\ldots(t+u-1)]}{\partial t^w}\bigg|_{t=1} & ,\ w \le u \\ \\ 0 & ,\ w > u \end{cases} .$$

At $w = 1$

(18) $$E(V_n | U_n = u) = \sum_{r=1}^{u} \frac{1}{r}$$

whence the conditional mean of V_n given U_n behaves like $\log U_n$ when U_n is large.

Similar computation reveals that the conditional variance of V_n given U_n also behaves like $\log U_n$ when U_n is large.

For the exact distribution of $B_{n,r}$ (equivalently $A_{n,r}$), let

(19)
$$\beta(u,r,k) \equiv P(B_{n,r} = k|U_n = u) .$$

It is straightforward to show that

$$\beta(u,r,k) = r^{-k}(k!)^{-1} \beta(u-kr,r,0) .$$

Since $\beta(w,r,0) = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{[w/r]} \beta(w,r,k)$ ([ ] indicates greatest integer in) and since $\beta(w,r,0) = 1$ when $w < r$, $\beta(u,r,k)$ can be computed recursively. Thus from (19)

(20)
$$P(B_{n,r} = k) = \sum_{u=kr}^{n} \beta(u,r,k) \, n^{-(u+1)} \, (n)u^u .$$

From Riordan p. 82-84 we may show that the wth factorial moment of $B_{n,r}$ given $U_n = u$ is

(21)
$$E((B_{n,r})_w|U_n = u) = \begin{cases} r^{-w} , & w \leq [u/r] \\ 0 , & w > [w/r] \end{cases} .$$

At $w = 1$, $E(B_{n,r}|U_n = u) = r^{-1}$, $r \leq u$, and summing both sides, $1 \leq r \leq u$ again yields (18). $\mathrm{Var}(V_{n,r}|U_n = u) = r^{-1}$ as well if $2r \leq u$.

In concluding this section we examine the expected length of a cycle denoted by ECL. We first compute the likelihood of any

particular cycle structure under a random T. Let m_ℓ be the number of cycles of length ℓ, $\ell = 1,2,\ldots,n$, and let $m_0 = n - \Sigma m_\ell \ell$ = the number of transient states. Then for $\Sigma m_\ell \ell \le n$,

P(m cycles of length ℓ, $\ell = 1,2,\ldots,n$, and m_0 transient states)

$\equiv P(m_0,m_1,\ldots,m_n)$

$= P(m_1,\ldots,m_n | U_n = n - m_0) \, P(U_n = n - m_0)$

$$= \left[\prod_{\ell=1}^{n-m_0} m_\ell! \prod_{\ell=1}^{n-m_0} \ell^{m_\ell} \right]^{-1} \cdot n^{-(n-m_0+1)} (n)_{n-m_0} (n-m_0)$$

$$= \left[\prod_{\ell=1}^{n-m_0} m_\ell! \prod_{\ell=1}^{n-m_0} \ell^{m_\ell} \right]^{-1} n! \; n^{-(n-m_0+1)} (n-m_0) \; .$$

(Note: Sherlock considers the conditional distribution above at greater length.)

For the cycle structure $(m_0,m_1,\ldots,m_n)$ the average cycle length will be $(\Sigma m_\ell)^{-1} \Sigma m_\ell \ell$ whence

$$(22) \qquad ECL = \sum (\Sigma m_\ell)^{-1} (\Sigma m_\ell \ell) P(m_0,m_1,\ldots,m_n)$$

where the outer sum is over the set $\{(m_0,m_1,\ldots,m_n) : m_\ell \ge 0, \Sigma m_\ell \ell \le n\}$.

More directly since $\Sigma m_\ell \ell$ is a value of U_n and Σm_ℓ is a value of V_n,

$$ECL = E((V_n)^{-1} U_n).$$

It is important to note that in determining ECL we have, for a particular net, defined "average cycle length" assuming cycles to be equally likely, e.g. if a net has 3 cycles of lengths 10, 5 and 3 we obtain an "average cycle length" $= 10 \cdot \frac{1}{3} + 5 \cdot \frac{1}{3} + 3 \cdot \frac{1}{3} = 6$. "Average cycle length" for a particular net may also be defined assuming equally likely selection of a cyclic state. For the above example we would then obtain an average cycle length $= 10 \cdot \frac{10}{18} + 5 \cdot \frac{5}{18} + 3 \cdot \frac{3}{18} = 7.\overline{4}$. Kauffman (1969a) and Cull (1978) study ECL under this latter definition.

4. Asymptotic Results

Using Harris' idea (p. 1047) we obtain the asymptotic probability density of U_n. Letting $W_n = U_n/\sqrt{n}$ and using (15) we may show that W_n converges in distribution to a random variable W having a Rayleigh distribution, i.e.

$$f_W(w) = we^{-w^2/2} , \quad w > 0 .$$

Hence $U_n \overset{p}{\to} \infty$. Since $E(W^r) = 2^{r/2} \Gamma(\frac{r+2}{2})$, $r > -2$, we have the asymptotic behavior of all moments of U_n, i.e. $E(U_n^r) = O(n^{r/2})$. In particular, $E(n^{-1/2} U_n) \to \sqrt{\pi/2}$ (so that the expected number of transient states, $E(n-U_n) \to \infty$) and $\text{var}(n^{-1/2} U_n) \to 2 - \pi/2$.

From remarks after (18), $E(V_n)$ behaves like $E(\log U_n)$ and $\text{var}(V_n) = \text{var } E(V_n|U_n) + E \text{ var}(V_n|U_n)$ behaves like $\text{var}(\log U_n) + E(\log U_n)$. Since by simple expansions $E(\log U_n) = O(\log n)$ and $\text{var}(\log U_n) = O(1)$, we have $E(V_n)$ and $\text{var}(V_n)$ both $O(\log n)$.

For ECL, a bivariate expansion of $(V_n^{-1})U_n$ reveals that the $(E(V_n))^{-1} E(U_n)$ term dominates and thus that ECL $= O((\log n)^{-1} \sqrt{n})$.

Finally we show that the asymptotic distribution of $B_{n,r}$ is Poisson (r^{-1}). The well-known fact that if X is distributed Poisson (λ) then the wth factorial moment of X is λ^w (see e.g. Johnson and Kotz, p. 90) means we only need show that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} E((T_{n,r})_w) = r^{-w}, \quad w = 1,2,\ldots .$$ Using (21) and the fact that $U_n \overset{p}{\to} \infty$ we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} E((B_{n,r})_w) = \lim_{n \to \infty} E(E(B_{n,r})_w | U_n)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} r^{-w} P([\frac{U_n}{r}] \geq w)$$

$$= r^{-w} .$$

5. Summary

We summarize the results of the previous sections by return-ing to the completely random net, setting $n = 2^N$

(i) the expected number of cyclic states is of order $2^{N/2}$

(ii) the expected number of transient states is of order 2^N

(iii) the expected number of cycles is of order N

(iv) the likelihood that any particular state is cyclic is of order $2^{-N/2}$

(v) the expected number of cycles of length r converges to $1/r$

(vi) the expected number of states on cycles of length r converges to 1

(vii) the expected cycle length is of order $(N^{-1})2^{N/2}$.

References

Arbib, M.A. (1972). The Metaphysical Brain, J. Wiley & Sons, New York.

Cavender, L.A. (1977). "Kauffman's Square-Root Law: Possible Correlatives," J. Theor. Biol. 25, 791-793.

Cull, P. (1977). "A Matrix Algebra for Neural Nets" presented at the International Conference on Applied General Systems Research, August 1977, Binghampton, N.Y.

Folkert, J.E. (1955). "The Distribution of the Number of Components of a Random Mapping Function" unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation, Michigan State University.

Gontcharoff, W. (1944). "On the Field of Combinatory Analysis" Bull. de l'Academie des Sciences de U.R.S.S., Serie Mathematique 8, 1-48.

Griffith, J.S. (1971). Mathematical Neurobiology, Academic Press, London.

Harris, B. (1960). "Probability Distributions Related to Random Mappings" Ann. of Math. Stat. 31, 1045-1062.

Johnson, N. and S. Kotz (1969). Discrete Distributions, Houghton-Mifflin, Boston.

Katz, L. (1955). "Probability of Indecomposability of a Random Mapping Function," Ann. of Math. Stat. 26, 512-517.

Kauffman, S.A. (1969a). "Metabolic Stability and Epigenesis in Randomly Constructed Genetic Nets," J. Theor. Biol. 22, 437-467.

Kauffman, S.A. (1969b). "Homeostasis and Differentiation in Random Genetic Networks," Nature 224, 177-178.

Kauffman, S.A. (1970). "The Organization of Cellular Genetic Control Systems," Mathematics in the Life Sciences 3, 63-116.

Kauffman, S.A. (1971). "Gene Regulation Networks: A Theory For Their Global Structure and Behaviour," Current Topics in Devel. Biol. 6, 145-182.

Kauffman, S.A. (1974). "The Large Scale Structure and Dynamics of General Control Circuits: An Ensemble Approach," J. Theor. Biol. 44, 167-190.

References (Continued)

Kruskal, M.D. (1954). "The Expected Number of Components Under A Random Mapping Function," Amer. Math. Mthly. 61, 392-397.

McCulloch, W.S. and Pitts, W.H. (1943). "A Logical Calculus of the Ideas Imminent in Nervous Activity," Bull. Math. Biophys. 5, 115-133.

Riordan, J. (1958). An Introduction to Combinatorial Analysis, J. Wiley & Sons, New York.

Rubin, H. and R. Sitgreaves (1954). "Probability Distributions Related to Random Transformations on a Finite Set," Tech. Report #19A, Applied Math. and Stat. Lab., Stanford University.

Sherlock, R. (1979). "Analysis of the Behavior of Kauffman Binary Networks - I. State Space Descriptions and the Distribution of Limit Cycle Lengths," Bull. Math. Biol. 41, 687-705.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 359 **2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.** ADA 157 755	**3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER**
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) A Behavioral Summary For Completely Random Nets	**5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED** TECHNICAL REPORT
	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) Alan E. Gelfand	**8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)** N00014-76-C-0475
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Statistics Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305	**10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS** NR-042-267
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP	**12. REPORT DATE** June 20, 1985
	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 22
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(if different from Controlling Office)	**15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)** UNCLASSIFIED
	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE

16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 30, if different from Report)

18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

Switching Net Models, Random Net, Boolean Transformations, Cycle, Cycle Length, Cycle Space.

20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

This paper characterizes the cycle structure of a completely random net. Variables such as number of cycles of a specified length, number of cycles, number of cyclic states and length of cycle are studied. A square array of indicator variables enables convenient study of moment structure. Additionally, exact and asymptotic distributional results are presented.

DD FORM 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE
S/N 0102-014-6601 |

END

FILMED

10-85

DTIC